## DECISION ON APPLICANT REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Under section 60851 of title 2 of the California Code of Regulations, if the Bureau of State Audits or the Applicant Review Panel decides to exclude or remove an applicant from the pool of applicants being considered for selection to the Citizens Redistricting Commission, the applicant may, no later than 10 days after the date of the notification of exclusion or removal, request reconsideration of the decision if the decision was the result of an error relating to:

• Having a conflict of interest;

Name of the Applicant/Requestor: Roberta L. Colmer

- Failing to satisfy the eligibility requirements for serving on the commission; or
- Failing to comply with the procedural requirements of the application process.

1 (Mille of the 11) proteins 1 to 6 section 2 to 6 of the 2 to 6 section 2 to 6 of the 1
Date of the notice of exclusion or removal: February 26, 2010
Date the request for reconsideration was received: February 26, 2010
Date the request for reconsideration was received. <u>reordary 20, 2010</u>
Description of the alleged error that caused the exclusion or removal: <u>Applicant incorrectly</u>
stated in Part 3 of the supplemental application that her husband, with whom she has a bona fide
relationship, has engaged in an activity within the past ten years that causes Applicant to have a
conflict of interest that makes her ineligible to serve as a member of the Citizens Redistricting
Commission.
Request for reconsideration is: Granted
Reason for granting or denying the request: <u>Although Applicant answered "yes" to the question</u>
on the application that asks whether, within the past ten years, her husband has engaged in any of
the activities that would cause Applicant to have a conflict of interest under the Voters FIRST
Act, when she went on to described the activity on the application, the activity she described was
not an activity that constitutes a conflict of interest. Applicant stated that her husband is a trustee
for a federal political action committee, but that does not create a conflict of interest under the
Act. It therefore appears that Applicant should not be excluded from the applicant pool.
Applicant's current status: <u>Included in the supplemental applicant pool.</u>
Name and title of person making decision: <u>Steven Benito Russo</u> , <u>Senior Staff Counsel</u>
Date of decision: April 6, 2010